

DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE
Security Committee

Serial: PerSSub-M-001-82
28 APR 1982

Personnel Security Subcommittee
AGENDA

Second Meeting
Tuesday, 18 May 1982, 1000-1300 hours

STAT

Administrative Issues

Item #1: Approval of Minutes for PerSSub meeting of 13 April 1982.

Item #2: SECOM Staff Advisor Report.

a. Fifth Adjudicators' Conference 3-7 May 1982.

b. Association of Federal Investigators' Speech, June 1982.

c. SECOM Staff activities.

*24 students
this time
or re-testing
curriculum
attendance
"Hill" / 5 Level
OPM*

Item #3: PerSSub Budget (FY84).

Item #4: Projecting tasking for next 12 months.

Item #5: Evaluation of DoD Select Panel Report on Personnel Security Program.

Item #6: New business.

Item #7: Next meeting.

Don't forget to call [redacted] SECOM staff, to advise them who will be coming to the meeting.

STAT

O U T L I N E

INTRODUCTION AND INTRODUCTORY REMARKS

Comments re contact with Jan O'Connell, AFI Staff member in March to obtain [] Report; subsequent discussions regarding personnel security programs in the Intelligence Community; invitation by her to speak to seminar. Explanation of DCI Security Committee and speakers' position on staff. Statutory authority for DCI Security Committee; who comprises membership; what committee's tasking is. Comments regarding subcommittees, particularly with respect to Personnel Security Subcommittee and the Adjudicator's Conference. Comparison of latter with AFI Seminar.

PERSONNEL SECURITY IN THE INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY

Candid comments regarding insularity and parochialism of Intelligence Community investigators and security people. Difficulties we sometimes have in relating to or even dealing with non-NFIB agencies and people without clearances. However, point out that there is nothing mysterious or different about the investigative and adjudicative process in "our world" than in a non-intelligence world. An investigation and adjudication of that investigation is for some end, either to grant a clearance or an access; to allow employment; to prosecute or not to prosecute; to resolve an allegation; and the like.

Remarks concerning the fact that the Security Committee has, historically, overseen many of the more sensitive aspects of certain types of intelligence, e.g., the SCI world; investigations and inquiries regarding security leaks in the SCI arena; the birth of and subsequent changes to the DCID 1/14; the

STAT

STAT

establishment of uniform investigative; adjudicative; and appeal requirements in the 1/14 etc. [All of this with no classified references.]

Comments regarding the Personnel Security Subcommittee's new initiatives with respect to another look at E0 10450 standards and our perceptions that the DCI has the oversight responsibility for not just SCI, but under E0 12333 also for access to all "intelligence" material. Discuss Select Panels' recommendations for DIS and within the Defense Department. (NOTE: Speech is not until June, Defense's actions should be well delineated by then. Also PSSC should be well along with our papers, including whatever revision of the DCID 1/14 is being worked upon.)

Comments regarding Security Awareness Working Group's role in Intelligence Community's personnel security programs. Note that security awareness is an important part of any overall personnel security program. Note also that awareness in any arena is important, as witness police and law enforcement programs to influence the public; set up neighborhood watches; educate people to telephone fraud; etc., etc.

Comments regarding use of the polygraph as a personnel security screening device and the fact that two agencies (and possibly more by the time of the speech) finds this a valuable investigations tools. Comments regarding the interlocking facets of security investigations, i.e., personal interview; polygraph (if used); background; periodic updates, etc., as a total personnel security picture.

WRAP-UP: Comments indicating that the Intelligence Community has come a long way from my first tour with the Security Committee in 1968-1970, when it was terribly fragmented and little or nothing got done as a Community effort. Indicate now that the Community, although certainly not of one mind in many areas, does display a commonality of interest in a lot of areas.

QUESTIONS: